	pld			HIS	STRORICAL DA	та	CURRENT YEAR		Urgently Ask Questions Acceptable Good Very Good			
BVPI No.	Key Threshold	Indicator Reference	Childrens Performance Indicators	2002/ 2003	2003/ 2004	2004/ 2005	2005/06	Target 06/07	Comments	Minimum Performance needed to achieve next 2 bands up	IPF Group (05/06 Forecast)	Effect on Star Rating
49	*	A1	Percentage of Children Looked After who have had 3 or more placements in a year	7.17%	9.2%	12.3%	11.9%	10.0%	This indicator remains in the highest banding with 16% or less being very good performance. Or performance is in line with that of our IPF comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band	12.6%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving <b>some</b> people well
50	*	A2	Percentage Children leaving care aged 16 or over with at least 1 GCSE at grad A-G	44.4%	52.1%	52.5%	59.2%	62%	Continued Improvement in performance during 2005/06 with no change in banding. Our performance remains below that of the planned performance of our IPF group.	70% n/a	62.1%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving some people well
		A3	Percentage of Children registered in a year on the Child Protection Register who have previously been registered	12.36%	14.6%	11.98%	10.9%	13.7%	Continued excellent performance on this indicator with performance below that of our IPF comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band	12.8%	
161		A4	Ratio of former care leavers in employment, education or training at age 19	56%	57.8%	0.87:1	0.76:1	0.89:1	Method of presenting performance on this indicator changed from a percentage to a ratio wef 2004/05. Performance in 2005/06 has reduced from that reported the previous year although our performance remains in the top banding and above the forecasted performance of our IPF group.	Already in Highest achievable band	0.73:1	
		A70	Progress made towards a comprehensive Children and Adolescents Mental Health Service	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported	10	14	New Indicator wef 2005/06. Comparator data not available. 2005/06 performance willnot be banded. Performance on this indicator can be anywhere between 4 (the lowest score) and 16 (the highest score).	n/a	n/a	
		В7	Percentage of Children looked after in foster placements or placed for adoptio	82.3%	85.4%	89%	88.4%	88.0%	Continued good performance which remains above that of our IPF comparator group average.	Already in Highest achievable band	85.0%	
		В8	Average gross weekly expenditure per looked after child in foster care or in a childrens home	£516	£527	£607	£647	not set	2005/06 performance and bandings are provisional. Actual outturn figure available July 2006.	£545 n/a	£631	
		C18	Final warnings and convictions of children looked after as a ratio of all childre given a final warning or convicted	3:1	3.5:1	1.9:1	3.2:1	2.5:1	Reduction in performance 2005/06 with a negative change in banding. Performance exceeds the average of our comparator group.	Between 1:1 and 3:1	2.7:1	
		C19	Percentage of Children Looked After who had a dental check and health assessment in the last 12 months	72%	76%	80.8%	80.5%	84%	Continued good performance on this indicator which remains in the highest banding available.  Our performance remains below that of our IPF comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band	87.3%	
162	*	C20	Percentage of child protection cases reviewed in the last year	93.1%	97.60%	98%	100%	100%	Continued excellent performance on this indicator with highest banding available acheived. Our performance remains higher than that of our IPF comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band	99.9%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving some people well
			Percentage of children de-registered from the Child Protection Register who had been registered for more than 2 years	7%	3.3%	5.6%	5.6%	4%	Continued excellent performance during 2005/06 with performance remaining in the highest ban available.	Already in Highest achievable band	3.5%	
163	*	C23	Percentage of children looked after who are adopted	8.81%	6.60%	7.4%	13.2%	12.0%	Excellent performance on this indicator which remains in the highest banding and is above the performance of our IPF comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band	8.7%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving most people well
		C24	Percentage of children looked after who have missed at least 25 days of schooling in a year	18.1%	14.5%	14.7%	12.4%	11%	Significant improvement in performance during 2005/06 with no change in banding from 2004/0 performance.	9.99% 4.99%	10.1%	
		C63	The number of children and young people who communicated their views specifically for each of their statutorys review as a percentage of the number of children and young people who were looked after during the year for more that four weeks.	Not Re	eported	87.6%	91.3%	98%	2004/05 performance was based on participation at the final review in the yea <b>only</b> . Continued improvement in performance with no change in banding although 2005/06 performance is based on participation at <b>every</b> review during the year.	95% n/a	88%	

	hold			HIS	STRORICAL DA	ТА	CURRENT YEAR		Urgently Ask Questions Acceptable Good Very Good			
BVPI No.		Indicator Reference	Childrens Performance Indicators	2002/ 2003	2003/ 2004	2004/ 2005	2005/06	Target 06/07	Comments	Minimum Performance needed to achieve next 2 bands up	IPF Group (05/06 Forecast)	Effect on Star Rating
		C64	The percentage of Core Assessments that were completed within 35 working days of their commencement	Not Reported		88.5%	85.6%	88%	Performance remains in highest banding for this indicator.	Already in Highest achievable band	77.3%	
		C68	Timeliness of reviews of children looked after	Not Reported			98.0%	100%	New Indicator wef 2005/06. Comparator data not available. 2005/06 performance willnot be banded by DfES - local banding has been set.	100%	Not available	
		C69	Distance children newly looked after are placed from home	Not Reported			6.0%		New Indicator wef 2005/06. Comparator data not available. 2005/06 performance willnot be banded. Awaiting bandings thresholds for 2006/07 performance.	n/a	Not available	
		D35	Percentage of children who have been looked after for at least 4 years who have been in their current placement for at least 2 years	54.5%	51.7%	51.6%	51.2%		Slight reduction in performance during 2005/06 with no change in banding. Further analysis to take place to investigate the reasons for the drop in performance.	60% 70%	55.5%	
		E44	Percentage of childrens gross expenditure that was not spent on children looks after	42%	47.0%	46.0%	49%	not set	2005/06 performance and bandings are provisional. Actual outturn figure available July 2006.	43% 32%	37.9%	
			Percentage of children in need from minority ethnic groups as a ratio of the percentage of minority ethnic groups in the child population	0.54:1	0.54:1	0.8:1	Not updated until the next CIN Census in 2007	Not required	2004/5 performance figure derived from Children In Need Census which took place February 2005. Improvement in performance from Census held 2 years previous although no change in banding. Next Census due to be held in 2007.	1:1 n/a	1.3:1	
		E67	The number of disabled children supported in their families or living independently, receiving services in the census week, as percentage of the estimated total population of disabled children in the council area	Not Re	Not Reported		Not updated until the next CIN Census in 2007	Not required	Performance is just below the threshold for the highest banding for this indicator although well below the targeted performance for our IPF comparator group. Figure derived from the CIN Census which was held in February 2005.	6.4 n/a	16.9	

	d	. 0		HIS	TORICAL DAT	'A	CURRENT YEAR		Investigate Urgently Urgently				
BVPI No.	Key Threshol	Indicator Reference	Adult Performance Indicators	2002/ 2003	2003/ 2004	2004/ 2005	2005/2006	Target 05/06	Comments	Performance requir to achive next 2 threshholds		IPF Group (04/05 Performance)	Effect on Star Rating
		A6	Emergency Psychiatric readmissions within 28 days of hospita discharge as a percentage of people aged 16 - 64 discharged from the care of a psychiatric specialist.	11%	10%	8%	Not Yet Available	<= 9.4	NOTE: data for 2005 will be published for each council on the CSCI website in August 2006 – councils are advised to await this publication.	6.49%		11.2%	
198		A60	Percentage change in the number of problem drug misusers in treatment per 1000 head of population aged 15-44	Not reported	Not reported	26%	Not Yet Available	not set	NOTE: provisional data for 2005 will be sent to each council in June 2006 – councils are advised to await this data.	not yet known	not yet known	20.8%	
		B11	Households receiving intensive home care as a proportion of those receiving intensive home and residential care	27%	29%	34%	34%	35	This indicator remains in the dark green banding, and performance is substantially higher than Durham's comparator group.	Already ir achievab		24.9%	
52		B12	Unit cost of intensive social care for adults and older people	£353	£337	£365	£378	not set	Banding is provisional	Already ir achievab		£421	
		B17	Unit cost of home care for adults and older people	£11.5	£12.12	£12.72	£12.90	not set	Banding is provisional	Already in Highest achievable band		£13.00	
		C72	Older People aged 65 or over admitted on a Permanent basis in the year to Residential or nursing care	Not Reported	Not Reported	Not Reported	119.4	not set	New Indicator - Replaces C26 as of 30th January 2006	110	100		
		C73	Adults aged 18-64 admitted on a permanent basis in the year to residential or nursing care	Not reported	Not reported	Not Reported	1.97	not set	New Indictor - Replaces C27 as of 30th January 2006	1.5	5		
53	*	C28	Households receiving intensive home care per 1,000 population aged 65 and over	16.42	17.3	21.2	21.4	21	This indicator remains in the highest possible banding, is largely on target and is substantially higher than our comparator group. Although this indicator can limit the star rating, Durham's performance remains in the highest possible banding and would need to worsen considerably before our star rating judgement is at risk of being affected.	Already in Highest achievable band		10.3	A banding of less than (yellow) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving most people well
		C29	Adults with physical disabilities helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 18-64	4.0	5.4	5.7	6.7	5.4	Durham's performance remains in the highest possible banding, has exceeded target and is higher than its comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band		4.4	
		C30	Adults with learning disabilities helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 18-64	3.3	4.0	3.8	3.5	4.6	Durham's performance remains in the highest possible banding and is higher than its comparator group.	Already in Highest achievable band		3.0	
		C31	Adults with mental health problems helped to live at home per $1,000$ population aged $18-64$	4.2	5.5	5.0	5.5	5.5	Durham's performance remains in the highest possible banding and is higher than its comparator group.	Already ir achievab		3.2	
54		C32	Older People helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 65 and over	86	90.1	91.0	96.2	91	Durham's performance is substantially higher than its comparator group.	100	n/a	79.8	
201	*	C51	Adults and older people receiving direct payments at end of period per 100,000 18+ population	41.25	69	81	81.0	85	Performance has increased due to a revised calculation. Performance has now increased handing.	90	150	60.7	A banding of (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving most people well

	T	2)	4	HIS	TORICAL DATA	A	CURRENT YEAR		Investigate Urgently Ask Questions Acceptable Good Very Good				
BVPI No.	Key Threshold	Indicator Reference	Adult Performance Indicators		2003/ 2004	2004/ 2005	2005/2006	Target 05/06	Comments	Performance required to achive next 2 threshholds		IPF Group (04/05 Performance)	Effect on Star Rating
		C62	Number of carers receiving a specific carers service as a percentage of clients receiving community based services	Not reported	Not reported	3.6%	10.2%	3.50%	The following additional services are now being counted: Day Care, Domiciliary Care with 3 hr visit (i.e. Sitting Service) and Respite Care where a carer can be identified from assessment.	not yet known	not yet known	12.00%	
		D37	Percentage of single adults and older people going into residential and nursing care who were allocated single rooms	96%	97%	96%	97.8%	97%	Performance consistent with previous years.		in Highest ble band	93.8%	
58		D39	Percentage of adults and older people receiving a statement of their needs and how they will be met	91%	96%	96%	96.6%	96%	Durham's performance is substantially higher than its comparator group. Performance remains well on target.	100	n/a	85.2%	
		D40	Adult and older clients receiving a review as a percentage of those receiving a service	48%	53.6%	50.49%	69.8%	54%	Single Assessment has resulted in a greater number of re-assessments which under the definition of this indicator are classed as Reviews.	Already i	in Highest ble band	68.6%	
		D41	Number of Delays (all ages) per 100,000 population aged 65 and over (based on SITREP data)	42.35	29	7	2.41	7	Durham is maintaining a very low level of delayed transfers of care, exceeding the target and remaining in the highest possible banding. The level of delayed transfers of care in Durham is considerably lower than the comparator group average.	Already in Highest achievable band		32.1	
		D52	Users who said that they were satisfied or extremely satisfied with social services	64%	Not reported	Not reported	64.7%	not set	Survey is undertaken every 3 years, performance has remained in the highest banding.	Already in Highest achievable band			
56	*	D54	Percentage of items of equipment delivered within 7 Days	Not reported	84.5%	89%	91.2%	89%	Durham's performance remains substantially higher than its comparator group. Performance remains in the highest possible banding and would need to worsen considerably before the star rating judgement is at risk of being affected.	Already i achieva	in Highest ble band	80.5%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving <b>most</b> people well
195	*	D55	Acceptable waiting times for assessment - Average of: % where the time from first contact to beginning of assessment is less than 48 hours and % where the time from first contact to completion of assessment is less than or equal to 4 weeks	Not reported	74%	75%	72.0%	69%	2005/06 bandings have been adjusted from 2004/05. This, coupled with a change in definition for this indicator has caused Durham's performance to reduce slightly. Action plans are being put into place to speed up waiting times for the start of assessments, which will improve performance against this indicator.	80%	90%	76.6%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving <b>most</b> people well
196	*	D56	Acceptable waiting times for care packages - for new older clients the % where the time from completion of assessment to provision of all services in a care package is less than or equal to 4 weeks	Not reported	83%	85%	87.20%	85%	2005/06 bandings have been adjusted from 2004/05. Durham has continued to show an improvement but revised banding mean that it is now performing in a lower banding.	90%	n/a	86%	A banding of • (red) limits "serving people well judgement" to Serving most people well
		D59	Number of assessed social work practice learning days per whole time equivalent social worker	Not reported	10.1%	12.3%	12.2%	not set	Durham's performance is higher than its comparator group.	17%	n/a	9.8%	
		D71	Home Care Survey: Do your care workers do the things that <b>you want</b> done?	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	73.0%	not set	New indicator, performance is in the highest banding.	Already i			
		E47	The percentage of older service users receiving an assessment or review that are from minority ethnic groups, divided by the percentage of older people in the local population that are from minority ethnic groups		0.53	0.6	0.34	0.65	Durham's performance against this indicator is low when compared to its comparator group.  An ideal ratio for this performance indicator would be 1:1. To achieve this ratio Durham would have needed to assess an additional 5 people from a minority ethnic group.	1	n/a	0.9	
		E48	The percentage of older service users receiving services following an assessment or review that are from a minority ethnic group to the percentage of older service users assessed or reviewed that are from a minority ethic group	0.87	1.17	1.09	1.20	1.05	Durham's performance has actually dropped a banding although everyone from a minority ethnic group who was assessed received a service (2 people). This is less than ideal because not everyone from a non minority ethnic group who was assessed received a service.	1	.1	1.10	
		E50	Assessments of adults and older people leading to a provision of service	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	82.0%	not set	Although this indicator is not new, the definition was modified for 2005/06 making recent data incomparable with historical data. To avoid confusion, historical data has been removed <b>This Indicator will not be banded for 2005/06.</b>	not yet known	not yet known		